# Panel Scores and Definitions

Projects will be scored from 0-9 (0=lowest; 9=highest) based on their potential for transition from discovery research to translational development through preliminary work or feasibility studies. Please refer to the ‘What are the panel looking for?’ hints under each section of the case for support form when completing your application.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Score** | **Score definitions** |
| 9 | The application is exceptional; it very strongly meets all of the assessment criteria to the highest standard. The panel agrees that it is difficult to articulate how the application could be improved. |
| 8 | The application is outstanding; it very strongly meets all of the assessment criteria. |
| 7 | The application is excellent; it strongly meets all of the assessment criteria. |
| 6 | The application is very good; it meets the assessment criteria well but with some minor weaknesses/limitations. |
| 5 | The application is good; it meets the assessment criteria well but with some clear weaknesses/limitations. |
| 4 | The application is adequate; it meets the assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses/limitations. |
| 3 | The application is weak; it meets the assessment criteria but with significant weaknesses/limitations. |
| 2 | The application is poor; it meets the assessment criteria but has major weaknesses/limitations. |
| 1 | The application is unsatisfactory; it does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria. |
| 0 | The application is unsatisfactory; it does not meet any of the assessment criteria. |

# Selection Criteria

Reviewers are asked to consider the following criteria when assessing your project(s):

## Strength of rationale and quality of science

Objective and Approach: Is the proposed approach an effective way of meeting the plan’s objectives and is it based on a good scientific rationale? How innovative is the plan, or is it a tried and tested approach? Is the preliminary data promising and robust?

## Unmet medical need

Is there a clear clinical impact and unmet need? If the need is not significant now, will it become so in the future? Would meeting this need significantly reduce disease burden and/or provide a valuable commercial opportunity and/or alleviate an important development bottleneck?

## Project planning and execution

Project Plan: Does the plan propose reasonable go/no-go milestones? Do the milestones follow the SMART principle? Are the milestone timings appropriate and are the success criteria necessary and sufficient to judge progression? Are the proposed probabilities of milestones being met reasonable?

Project and Risk Management: Do the applicants have or likely will have the necessary project management experience to deliver the plan? Has the individual or group established a high-quality track record in the field? Does the applicant have the relevant team/expertise in place to deliver the proposed milestones?

Resource requirements, deliverability and Environment: Has the team identified and secured reasonable access to necessary resources/skills? Has the applicant recognised appropriate stakeholders (such as industry partners and key academic collaborators) to contribute in propelling the translational activity of the project? Is the budget realistic for the scale and complexity of the project? Have the applicants set out a clear and reasonable case for the requested levels of staffing and overall resources?

## Future commercial opportunity or potential clinical, societal or global health impact

Competition and market: Have the applicant identified the key competing solutions and their status or are they aware of other similar or complementary research underway elsewhere? Has the applicant identified the key competitive advantages/USPs of their proposed solution? Is the cost higher than for competing solutions? Have safety and tolerability been considered? How likely is it that the proposed solution, if achieved, would be widely adopted?

## IP position

Intellectual Property: Is there an appropriate intellectual property strategy in place to optimise the chances of downstream funding/partnering and ultimate exploitation? Is the research academic-led where industry is involved?

## Downstream project planning/support

Likelihood of developing a full proposal to be submitted to the MRC DPFS award scheme, or similar follow-on funding schemes, within the required timescale and budget.

Does the applicant have a clear plan towards clinical impact /commercialisation following completion of MLSTF?

# Confidential and Non-confidential Lay Summary

Please refer to the following sources to produce a clear, succinct and impactful lay summary:

* [NIHR Plain English Summaries](https://www.nihr.ac.uk/documents/plain-english-summaries/27363)
* [Tips for MLSTF Applicants](•%09https:/www.medsci.ox.ac.uk/for-staff/resources/translational-research-office/news-training-events/tips-for-mlstf-applicants)

# Case for Support Form

## Section 1.1: Scientific Justification and Project Developmental Plans

## What are the panel looking for?

Please use this section to include additional information that is not already in the confidential/ non confidential summary

Please use this section to include scientific background information and justification such as:

The unmet clinical need of your proposed project,

Background data and progress to date,

MLSTF project objectives, milestones, go/no-go success criteria and proposed end-point outcomes.

Next steps in the development plans post MLSTF

You will need to append the following as separate PDFs:

Supporting data and information (figures / tables) (1 page maximum)

* A comprehensive Gantt chart on the milestones and timeline of your project (1 page maximum, see link to an example at the end of this form)

## Section 1.2: Please list the key risks to delivering the project

## What are the panel looking for?

Please list the risks from:

* Based on the likelihood of occurring during the course of the project (Unlikely-highly likely)
* What their impact would be on the successful completion of the project. (Low, moderate, High)
* How will these risks be managed? What mitigation plans will be in place?

## Section 2: Competitiveness of the Approach

## What are the panel looking for?

Please include a discussion around market competition such as;

* Will the proposed research offer significant advantages over current methodologies and which are the main competitive solutions?
* Academic and industry solutions should be considered for this discussion

## Section 3: Industry Engagement

## What are the panel looking for?

Please use this section to elaborate if you already have an industrial collaborator on board? If so, please give details here including their contribution in your project. Please also elaborate if there is a research collaboration in place. If there are discussions taking place already with industry, please elaborate the details here including what sort of interest have they shown if any?

## Section 4: Regulatory Management

## What are the panel looking for?

Have you consulted with the appropriate regulatory body/ies (e.g. MHRA) or another relevant regulatory expert? If so, please summarise the outcome of the discussions; if not, please summarise why this is not necessary at this stage.

## Section 5: Data Management Plan

If you have ticked ‘Yes’, please state:

* How will you manage and share data collected or acquired through the proposed research? Please elaborate on data anonymisation and access management in this section.
* Please provide a data management plan which should clearly detail how you will comply with the [University’s published data management policies](https://researchdata.ox.ac.uk/data-management-plans).

## Section 6: Justification for Support

## What are the panel looking for?

Please provide examples of sources that could include: Industry cash or in-kind, internal/external awarded grants, Departmental support.

## Section 7: Sources of Matched Funding

## What are the panel looking for?

* What resources (models, equipment, infrastructure, expertise) are needed to undertake the proposed project?
* Why the MLSTF scheme is an appropriate scheme for your proposal?